



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

**CM-16473-CWP-2019 in/and
CWP No.19168 of 2012
Date of decision : 16.12.2024**

AVTAR SINGH

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Nikhil Saraf, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Tirath Sandhi, Advocate for
Mr. Surmukh Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-16473-CWP-2019

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CWP No.19168 of 2012

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the promotion was granted to respondent No.4 from the post of Senior Assistant to that of Personal Assistant by ignoring the claim of the petitioner, who was eligible and was to be granted the said benefit.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the respondents had undertaken before this Court that the promotion of the private respondent is already under review and

appropriate decision will be taken within a period of three weeks.



**CM-16473-CWP-2019 in/and
CWP No.19168 of 2012**

:2:

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, Junior Assistant submits that keeping in view the order dated 25.10.2024, the promotion granted to private respondent No.4 has already been withdrawn.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the promotion to private respondent has been withdrawn, and the petitioner was claiming the said promotion, the respondents are under obligation to consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Personal Assistant and pass appropriate order qua the said aspect.

5. Learned State counsel submits that the claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Personal Assistant will be considered and appropriate order in the facts and circumstances of the present case will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order and in case it is found that the petitioner was entitled for promotion as a Personal Assistant, the same will be granted otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

16.12.2024

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No