



CRM-M-29094-2024

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

279

CRM-M-29094-2024

Date of decision: August 20, 2024

MOHIT DHINGRA

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present:- Mr. Suryakant Gautam, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishab Singla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate for respondent no.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 0044 dated 20.05.2022 under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 66, 66(D) and 67(A) of the Information and Technolgy Act registered at Police Station Women, Commissionerate, Jalandhar, Punjab (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.5.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 15.07.2024:

“ Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed his vakalatnama which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Service is complete.

Adjourned to 20.08.2024.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get recorded

2024:PHHC:107161

**CRM-M-29094-2024**

their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 20.08.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 0044 dated 20.05.2022 under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 66, 66(D) and 67(A) of the Information and Technology Act registered at Police Station Women, Commissionerate, Jalandhar, Punjab (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

August 20, 2024

ritu

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes
No