

CRM-M-29941-2024
Date of decision: 05.08.2024

...PETITIONER

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bakul Garg, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.86 dated 27.02.2024 under Section 365 of IPC (Sections 8 & 12 of POCSO Act, 2012 added later on) registered at Police Station Ram Nagar, Karnal (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 09.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).
2. The following order was passed on 04.07.2024 :-

“xxx--xxx--xxx

CRM-M-29941-2024

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.86 dated 27.02.2024 under Section 365 of IPC (Sections 8 & 12 of POCSO Act, 2012 added later on) registered at Police Station Ram Nagar, Karnal (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 09.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 05.08.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Bakul Garg, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and admits to the factum of compromise. He is directed to file his



power of attorney on or before the next date of hearing. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 05.08.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.86 dated 27.02.2024 under Section 365 of IPC (Sections 8 & 12 of POCSO Act, 2012 added later on) registered at Police Station Ram Nagar, Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

August 05, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No