



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

145

CRM-M-28702-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 31.05.2024

SUKHWINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that due to typographical error, State of Haryana has been mentioned in the memo of parties instead of State of Punjab and prays that on his oral request, State of Haryana be replaced with State of Punjab.
2. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, prayer is allowed.
3. State of Punjab be impleaded as respondent-party instead of State of Haryana.
4. Registry is directed to do the needful.
5. The instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 CrPC seeking quashing of case FIR No.127 dated 03.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 365, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Vairoke, District Fazilka and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.
6. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Chander Shekhar has submitted that cancellation report in the present case has been approved by



the competent authority and the same shall be presented in Court within 4 weeks for appropriate proceedings.

7. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners has sought withdrawal of the petition at this stage with liberty to file the same afresh, if so required in future.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

31.05.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No