

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

306

1. CRM-M-29815-2023  
Date of decision: 09.01.2024

Munish Johri .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another .....Respondents

2. CRM-M-55262-2023  
Date of decision: 09.01.2024

Lalit Mohan Pandey .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another .....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Nipun Vashist, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Jasleen Chahal, AAG, Haryana  
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Vani Mahajan, Advocate  
for respondent No.2 in both cases.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petitions is for quashing of FIR No.50 dated 11.02.2023 under Sections 307, 34 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 307 of the IPC and 25 of the Arms Act were deleted and Sections 308 of the IPC and 27 of the Arms Act were added) registered at Police Station Kherki Daula, District Gurugram and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide orders dated 31.10.2023 and 06.11.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial

CRM-M-29815-2023  
CRM-M-55262-2023

Court/Illaq Magistrate on 05.12.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurugram, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurugram and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

6. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

09.01.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No