



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29072-2024
Date of decision: 10.07.2024

PARVEEN KUMARIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Arjunveer Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
134	05.07.2008	419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC	Division No.7, District Ludhiana

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that originally the case was registered against five persons out of which one Gurmeet Singh has expired and during the course of trial, the trial Court had acquitted three persons and convicted only the present petitioner who has effected compromise during the pendency of the appeal.

3. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 08.05.2024 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was



342

CRM-M-29072-2024

2

referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana vide report dated 15.06.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioner has also deposited cost of Rs.20,000/- in compliance of order dated 03.06.2024.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 134 dated 05.07.2008 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.7, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.07.2024

Kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |