



290-2 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28617-2024

Date of Decision: 13.08.2024

Darshan Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of DDR No.34 dated 28.12.2016 under Sections 323/324/148/149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) in cross-case arising out of FIR No.46 dated 18.11.2016 under Sections 325/323/308/341/34 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot (Annexure P-2) and all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise deed dated 09.09.2023 (Annexure P-4).

2. This Court, vide order dated 30.05.2024 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send the report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. In compliance thereof, report dated 07.06.2024 from the learned District & Sessions Judge, Pathankot with statements of the parties, in which, it has been mentioned that the compromise is genuine and has been effected between the parties voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence.

4. None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners and respondent Nos.2 to 5.
5. Learned State counsel submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the State and have gone through the record.
7. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.
8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and DDR No.34 dated 28.12.2016 under Sections 323/324/148/149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) in cross-case arising out of FIR No.46 dated 18.11.2016 under Sections 325/323/308/341/34 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot (Annexure P-2) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise deed dated 09.09.2023 (Annexure P-4).

13.08.2024

Parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE