



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28596-2024
Date of Decision : 17.07.2024

SUKHVINDER SINGH ALIAS SHEENA

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Jain, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 31.05.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.152 dated 18.04.2024,
registered under Sections 306/34 IPC at Police Station City
Fatehabad, petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that
the allegation against the petitioner as per contents of the FIR is
of interfering the family matters of the deceased though there is
no such allegation that on the fateful day there was any act of
commission/ omission on part of the petitioner that would
constitute abetment as envisaged under Section 306 IPC.

Notice of motion for 02.07.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat,
DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of
respondent No.1- State.

Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate has entered appearance on
behalf of respondent No.2 and seeks time to file reply.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by SI Sumer Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 31.05.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

July 17, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No