



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14120-2024
Date of Decision: 16.10.2024

SONIKA MAHAJAN @ PALAK

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. R.S. Bains, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Lovneet Thakur, Advocate,
Mr. I.P.S. Deol, Advocate and
Mr. Tejas Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J.

1. The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Petition under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India
praying for an appropriate writ, order or direction for regularizing
the allotment of Flat No. 28FF, Block-C, Nirmal Shaya Apartment,
Rishi Nagar, Ludhiana to the petitioner and consequently quash
order dated 8/5/2023 Annexure P-23 declining the allotment
notwithstanding the fact that the petitioner and her three children
are victims of false undertaking given before the Hon'ble High Court
by Vijay Mahajan.”

2. Having argued the matter at some length, parties have arrived at a
consensus. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that within a period of two
weeks from today, the petitioner shall move an application under the provisions of

**CWP-14120-2024****-2-**

the Punjab Management and Transfer of Municipal Properties Act, 2020 (for short 'the 2020 Act') seeking proprietary rights of flat No.28 FF, Block-C, Nirmal Shaya Apartments, Rishi Nagar, Ludhiana.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 submits that on receipt of the said application alongwith the requisite documents as envisaged under the 2020 Act, a decision shall be taken within a period of four weeks thereafter and a speaking order shall be passed after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the writ petition be disposed of in terms of the statements made by them.

5. The writ petition is accordingly ***disposed of*** in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties binding them to the same. This Court is sanguine that the authority concerned shall deal with the matter in accordance with law after complying with the principles of natural justice.

6. Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the authority concerned shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

16.10.2024

Prince Chawla

*Whether speaking/reasoned :**Yes/No.**Whether reportable :**Yes/No.*