

243

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-15296-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.01.2024

Akshay ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Govind Mor, Advocate for
Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of additional five marks under the socio economic criteria.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner would give a fresh representation in which the details of judgment dated 09.05.2022 passed in CWP-9471-2020 titled as “Manjeet Vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission” and also order passed in LPA arising from the said judgment would be given and has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission is directed to consider the said representation in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be

meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission would consider the said representation in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of said representation.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission to consider the abovesaid representation in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of said representation and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of said representation.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

24.01.2024

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No