



238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28534-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.07.2024

Vispinder Jeet Singh Alias Vishavpinderjeet Singh

...Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Randeep S. Gill, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.38 dated 17.05.2023 under Sections 498-A, 406, 34 of IPC (Section 201 of IPC added vide DDR No.30 dated 25.06.2023 and Section 420 of IPC added vide DRR No.52 dated 13.07.2023), registered at Police Station Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 22.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. The following order was passed on 31.05.2024:

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.38 dated 17.05.2023 under Sections 498-A, 406, 34 of IPC (Section 201 of IPC added vide DDR No.30 dated 25.06.2023 and Section 420 of IPC added vide DRR No.52 dated 13.07.2023),



registered at Police Station Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 19.07.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Ms. Rupinder Kaur, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files her Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within four weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance”.

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the law laid down by Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Vs. State of Gujrat and Another 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 589** and this Court in **Parmambir Singh Gill Vs. Malkiat Kaur 2010(1) RCR (Crl.) 256**, partial compromise is permissible.



5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.38 dated 17.05.2023 under Sections 498-A, 406, 34 of IPC (Section 201 of IPC added vide DDR No.30 dated 25.06.2023 and Section 420 of IPC added vide DRR No.52 dated 13.07.2023), registered at Police Station Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

July 19, 2024
joyti

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No