



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(231)

CWP No. 15188 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 30.07.2024

Kewal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. B.S. Sewak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the only grievance raised by the petitioner is that on the basis of an anonymous complaint, the Vigilance Complaint has been registered and the petitioner has been summoned.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that enquiring into the anonymous complaint is causing prejudice to the petitioner.
3. Learned State counsel submits that keeping in view the allegations, which were mentioned in the complaint, the authorities thought it prudent to look into the aspect and the petitioner was called and his statement has been recorded.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as no prejudicial action/order causing prejudice to the petitioner has been passed, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty to



avail appropriate remedy in case any prejudice is caused to the petitioner on account of the said complaint.

- 5. Ordered accordingly.
- 6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 30, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No