



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CWP No. 14136 of 2024
Date of Decision : 01.07.2024

Nisan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the land of the petitioner was acquired situated at Village Gobindpura and keeping in view the Policy of the State of Punjab, the petitioner was to be given a Government job but such job has not been given despite the fact that the petitioner is fully eligible as per the Policy issued by the State of Punjab.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the petitioner the benefit of Government job as per the Policy issued by the State of Punjab.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 02.03.2024 raising the said grievance before the Deputy Commissioner, Mansa, a copy of which has



been appended as Annexure P-15 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 02.03.2024 (Annexure P-15) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

July 01, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No