IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19425-2024
Date of decision: 12.08.2024

Krishan Chand ….Petitioner

Versus

The Market Committee, Karnal, and others ….Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate, and
Ms. Amita Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate, for the respondents.

****

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition to enable the petitioner to avail such other remedies, as shall be admissible in law. And also to file a fresh petition with better particulars, if the occasion so arises, after appending all the necessary documents.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty prayed for.

However, it is clarified that this order shall not be construed that the delay, if any, the petition suffers from is condoned.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

12.08.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No

AMIT KUMAR
2024.08.12 19:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document