



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.110**CRWP-5188-2024****Date of Decision:18.09.2024****RASLEEN KAUR****....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI****Present:-** Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Inderpal Singh, Khari, Advocate
for respondents No.4 & 5.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner-Rasleen Kaur aged 10 years has come present in the Court alongwith her mother Kulwinder Kaur. She had filed criminal writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ seeking direction from respondents No.2 and 3 to decide their representation dated 21.05.2024 (Annexure P-4) and to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and further direction to the official respondents No.2 and 3 not to pressurise the petitioner to stay with respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. Mr. Inderpal Singh Khari, Advocate, has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No. 4 and 5 and the same is taken on record.

3. Today respondent No.4 is present in the Court, who categorically stated that he does not have any objection if minor child Rasleen Kaur stays with her mother-Kulwinder Kaur.

4. Kulwinder Kaur stated that she has no objection if respondent



No.4 visits her daughter-Rasleen Kaur i.e. the petitioner.

5. Therefore, at this stage there is no threat perception. No further direction is required. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

18.09.2024
mahima

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No