



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP No. 13442 of 2024
Date of Decision : 30.05.2024

Ram Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sukhdev Raj Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that petitioners though adjusted as Supervisors but are not being paid the same pay scale as being paid to the other similarly situated Supervisors, which act on the part of the respondents is arbitrary and illegal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the question raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in CWP No. 18041 of 2020 titled as ***Sudesh Malik Vs. The State of Haryana and another***, decided on 01.04.2024 and keeping in view the settled principle of law, the petitioners are also entitled for the benefits as extended to the petitioner in ***Sudesh Malik's case (supra)***.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation



dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-3) and the petitioners will be satisfied in case, the said representation is decided by the respondents by passing appropriate speaking order keeping in view the decision of this Court in ***Sudesh Malik's case (supra)***.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-3) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order by keeping in mind the decision of this Court in ***Sudesh Malik's case(supra)***.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 30, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No