

102-2&3	(I)	CRM-M-28768-2024	
		Date of Decision : September 16, 2024	
JAGMAL		V/S	-PETITIONER
STATE OF HARYANA			-RESPONDENT
	(II)	CRM-M-28844-2024	
SUBHANKER		V/S	-PETITIONER
STATE OF HARYANA			-RESPONDENT

Present: None for the petitioner (in both petitions).
Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

1. None has been causing appearance on behalf of the petitioner(s) since the past two consecutive dates of hearing.
2. In deference to the directions issued by this Court on 03.09.2024, today the learned State counsel has filed separate detailed replies, on affidavit of O.P. Narwal, IPS, Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, which are taken on record.
3. Since none has been causing appearance on behalf of the petitioner(s), same was the position on the last date of hearing, and, specific roles have been assigned to the petitioner(s) in the replies (supra), therefore, this Court does not deem it a fit and deserving case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s). Consequently, both the instant petitions are **dismissed**.
4. A photocopy of this order be placed on file of connected case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

DEVINDER YADAV
2024.09.17 16:52
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment