



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 1761 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.08.2024

Babu Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

K. Makarand Pandurang, IAS, Director,
Department of Social Justice and Empowerment,
Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition, seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent(s), alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 02.04.2022 (**Annexure P-1**) passed by this Court in CWP No. 6922 of 2022, the operative portion thereof reads as under:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.”

[2] In response, learned counsel for the respondents relies upon the status / compliance report dated 05.12.2023 which stood filed by way of affidavit of respondent No. 1, as per which, upon culmination of enquiry

proceedings, the benefits due towards the petitioner have already been released.

[3] In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition, the same is disposed off.

[4] However, in case any claim of the petitioner still survives, he would be at liberty to raise his claim by filing representation before the quarter concerned within a period of two weeks from today, which shall be dealt with, as per law, within a period of four weeks thereafter from the date of its receipt by passing a speaking order thereupon, and the same shall be conveyed to the petitioner within a period of two weeks thereafter and in case of any delay on the part of respondent(s), the officer(s) concerned would be liable to pay costs of Rs. 50,000/- to the petitioner.

[5] Also, it is made clear that in an eventuality, wherein the petitioner is compelled to approach this Court seeking revival of the contempt petition, the respondent(s) shall bear the additional costs of Rs.1,00,000/- towards litigation expenses incurred by the petitioner.

[6] Rule stands discharged.

August 29, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No