



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-28099-2024
Date of decision: 31.07.2024

JASVIR SINGH
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB
....Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Akun Sheemar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On 29.05.2024, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Contends, inter alia, that offence under Section 307, IPC has been added after a period of 10 months from registration of FIR No.56 dated 22.06.2023, under Sections 323, 325, 148 read with Section 149, IPC, Police Station Ghardiwala, District Hoshiarpur.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and seeks time to have instructions and/or file written response in the matter.

Posted for 31.07.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

To be heard along with CRM-M-26900-2024. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him

by ASI Balvir Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 29.05.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

31.07.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No