



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-14337-2024
Date of Decision: 01.07.2024

PAWAN KUMAR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vardaan Seth, Advocate
for respondent-PSPCL.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been instituted under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.4 to decide the representation dated 19.08.2023 in accordance with law.

Learned Counsel for the respondent-PSPCL points out that CMD is not the appropriate authority for taking decision on representations. He further points out that the petitioner has alternative efficacious remedy of approaching the CGRF and he should be relegated to approach the competent authority.



CWP-14337-2024

-2-

Learned Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above said facts pointed out by the Counsel for the respondents and prays for withdrawal of the present writ petition so as to avail his alternative remedies.

Taking into consideration, the statement made by the respective parties, the present writ petition is disposed of without commenting on merits of the case so as to take efficacious alternative remedy available to the petitioner in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JULY 01, 2024
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*