



213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12232-2014

Date of Decision: 14.08.2024

HIMMAT SINGH

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

SUPERINTENDENT CANAL OFFICER & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present:- Mr. Sansar Kundu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikas Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondents No.4, 5 and 6.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner (Himmat Singh) has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for setting aside the order dated 03.06.2014 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Superintending Canal Officer, Kaithal(respondent No.1), whereby the water course was restored for a period of six months. Petitioner further seeks setting aside the order dated 03.07.2013 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Sub Divisional Canal Officer (respondent No.3), whereby the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the order dated 03.06.2014 (Annexure P-5) was dismissed.

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant writ petition has become infructuous on account of the fact that water course was allowed to be restored for six months only, which has since expired.
- 3. In view of aforesaid fact, the instant writ petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
- 4. Disposed of accordingly.
- 5. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

August 14, 2024
Pd

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No