



1

AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision : May 31, 2024

.. Petitioners

Versus

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the petitioners are claiming the benefit of regularization of their service under the Policy dated 01.10.2003 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all the petitioners are in service and have rendered more than two decade of service but their services have not been regularized though, benefit has been granted to the similarly situated employees. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that recently this Court while passing order in **CWP No. 2158 of 2020 titled as Ashish Sharma and others Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 13.03.2024**, certain parameters have been laid down for considering the claim of the employees under the Policy dated

**CWP-13803-2024 2**

01.10.2003 (Annexure P-3) and the petitioners will be satisfied in case, their claim is also considered in terms of the Policy dated 01.10.2003 (Annexure P-3) coupled with the parameters laid down in ***Ashish Sharma(supra)***.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-10) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.



CWP-13803-2024 3

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 31, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No