



CWP-13414-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-13414-2024
Date of decision : 30.05.2024

Paramjit Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms.Anamika Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.T.P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners with effect from the date the services of the juniors to the petitioners regularized with all consequential benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners had given the applications (Annexures P-9 and P-10) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said applications are considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then



CWP-13414-2024 2

necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the said applications and decide the same within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the applications (Annexures P-9 and P-10) of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the pleas of the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the pleas of the petitioners are not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the applications independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 30, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No