



CRM-M-28378-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRM-M No.28378 of 2024  
Decided on: 18.07.2024

Ranvirpal Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Puran Singh Hundal, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Gursahib Singh Hundal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

| FIR No. | Dated      | Police Station                  | Sections                                                                                               |
|---------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 536     | 20.11.2020 | Sonipat Sadar, District Sonipat | 120-B, 409, 420, 467, 478, 471, IPC and Section 13 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (added later) |

1. Seeking quashing of the above captioned FIR, the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC.
2. State counsel opposes the present petition on the ground there is a prima facie specific evidence qua the petitioners' involvement. He further submits that the investigation is complete and police report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been filed against the petitioner(s) and prosecution has been launched against the petitioner alongwith other co-accused.
3. After arguing for sometime, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contented and satisfied if he is permitted to file an application for discharge for the reasons that there is no evidence against him. Petitioner's counsel seeks to withdraw the



CRM-M-28378-2024

present petition with a prayer that he be permitted to file a discharge application and to raise all points before the trial Court.

4. I have also perused the summary of investigation and find that there might be some substance in the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner.

5. Given above, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file a precise application for discharge, but such application should not be unnecessarily lengthy and if such application is filed in that case, the concerned Court shall decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order and shall answer to each and every point taken up in the said application. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to come to this Court to challenge charges, if framed. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

18.07.2024  
Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable: No.