



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13464-2024

Date of decision: 06.08.2024

Sukhbir Singh Hooda

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate, and
Mr. Siddhanth Arora, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

With reference to our order dated May 30, 2024, a short reply by way of an affidavit of Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad, filed in the Court today, is taken on record. Copy furnished.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that in the wake of the averments set out in paragraph Nos.9 to 11, nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, he submits that as indicated in paragraph No.12 of the affidavit, the petitioner shall move an appropriate application for consideration of his claim for allotment of a site/plot, under the Oustees Category, as and when a fresh advertisement is issued.

In terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

06.08.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No