



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(288) CWP-15978-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 01.10.2024

Dr. Kanwardeep Kaur and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Baba Farid Medical University of Health Science ...Respondent

(288/2) CWP-7406-2021 (O&M)

Lajya Devi Goyal and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Baba Farid Medical University of Health Science ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate with
Mr. Ajay Kamboj Gurpreet, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate
(Joined through Video Conferencing) with
Mr. Ajit Singh, Advocate for the respondent-University.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the present petitions, the relief which is being claimed by the petitioners herein, has already been granted to three candidates, namely, Dr. Paramjit Singh, Dr. Harminder Singh and Dr. Amarpreet Kaur. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that two different stands have been taken by the respondents as the relief being claimed by the petitioners is



denied, whereas the same relief has been extended to the Doctors whose names have been mentioned here-in-before.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-University submits that though the above mentioned three Doctors have been given relieving but the same has been made subject to the outcome of the present petitions. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that in case, the petitioners intend to approach the respondent-University to claim the same relief, appropriate consideration will be given to the claim of the petitioners as well as to the others, who have been granted the same benefit in order to arrive at a particular decision which is uniform in the case of all the Doctors and appropriate decision on the plea of the petitioners will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the order for not accepting the claim of the petitioners, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representations so as to claim the same relief as being granted to Dr. Paramjit Singh, Dr. Harminder Singh and Dr. Amarpreet Kaur with further liberty that in case any order causing prejudice to the petitioners is passed, the petitioners can avail appropriate remedy for the redressal of their grievances.

4. Ordered accordingly.



5. It may be noticed that while passing the appropriate order, which the respondents will pass on their representations, the order already passed denying the benefit will not cause prejudice to the petitioners as, the claim of the petitioners is to be considered on the lines in terms of the benefit, if any, granted to the other three Doctors, which names find mentioned here-in-before.

6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

October 01, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No