



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

121

CWP-13318-2024
Date of Decision: 30.05.2024

JAMUNA DEVI

.... Petitioner

Versus

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM AND ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner-Jamuna Devi, has filed the instant writ petition for granting of pay fixation in 2nd ACP Modified Scale.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in regard to the claim projected through the present writ petition, a representation dated 23.01.2024 (Annexure P-6) has already been submitted by the petitioner to respondent No.3-Executive Engineer, City Division, UHBVN, Sonipat. In the said representation, it has been categorically explained that petitioner is widow of Sh. Sunder Lal, who retired on 30.11.2003 from the office of respondents. Petitioner's husband had rendered 20 years regular service as on 01.01.1996, therefore, he was entitled for 2nd Modified ACP as on 01.01.1996 i.e. prior to his death. After the death of her husband, petitioner claimed



that she is entitled to receive the enhanced amount qua 2nd Modified ACP w.e.f 01.01.1996.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that, at this stage, petitioner would be satisfied, if a direction is given to respondents to decide the representation dated 23.01.2024 (Annexure P-6) within some time bound manner for early disposal of the claim raised by the petitioner through the present writ petition.

4. After going through the petition, the appended documents and the arguments addressed by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the present writ petition be disposed of by issuing a direction to the respondent(s) to take some conclusive decision on the representation dated 23.01.2024 (Annexure P-6), already submitted by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from today and the final decision be informed to the petitioner also.

5. Needless to say that in case, petitioner is found entitled to receive the benefit, she has claimed, same would be extended to her without any unnecessary delay.

6. **Disposed of.**

May 30, 2024
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

yes/no
yes/no