



213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10181-2016
Date of Decision:22.05.2024

LALIT THAKUR AND ORS Petitioners

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner No.1.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate and
Mr. Saurabh Chawla, Advocate
for petitioners No.2 and 3.

Mr. Somesh Gupta, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondent No.1-UOI.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to grant AGP of Rs.7,000/- from the date of completion of Ph.D.
2. Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate and Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate submit that respondent has extended benefit claimed by petitioners to many similarly situated teachers, including Sh. Jayaram Nakka. He like petitioners at the time of appointment was not possessing degree of Ph.D. He completed Ph.D. after his appointment as Assistant Professor with AGP of Rs.6,000/-. He has been extended benefit of AGP of Rs.7,000/-.

3. Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate concedes that benefit of AGP of Rs.7,000/- has been granted to similarly situated teachers, however, there is doubt about their eligibility, thus, fresh notice has been issued to them.

4. In view of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to extend benefit of AGP of Rs.7,000/- alongwith consequential benefits to the petitioners, however, respondent would be free to withdraw said benefit, if it is withdrawn in the case of similarly situated teachers.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

22.05.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>