



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP No. 13308 of 2024

Date of Decision : 30.05.2024

Ravi Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra 'Sirsa', Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they are entitled for the grant of compassionate appointment or the monthly compassionate financial assistance as admissible under the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employee Rules, 2006.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that none of the benefit is being extended to the petitioners despite their entitlement, hence, the respondents be directed to release the entitled benefits to the petitioners without any further delay.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 24.04.2024



(Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 24.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 30, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No