



360

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28081-2024

Date of Decision: 23.07.2024

LOVEPREET SINGH ALIAS LABBA AND ANR

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.

Present:- Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been moved by the petitioners seeking quashing of the order dated 14.05.2024 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Faridkot (Annexure P-7) whereby bail of the petitioners was cancelled and non-bailable warrants of arrest were issued against the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that in compliance of the order dated 30.05.2024 passed by this Court, petitioner No.2 Ravi Singh @ Ghaint appeared before the learned trial Court on 06.06.2024 and in compliance of the order dated 09.07.2024 passed by this Court, petitioner No.1 Lovepreet Singh @ Labba appeared before the learned Trial Court on 15.07.2024; and furnished requisite bail bonds and surety bonds and placed on record copy of orders dated 06.06.2024 and 15.07.2024 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Faridkot. He contends that since the petitioners had joined the trial Court proceedings, as such, the order dated 14.05.2024



(Annexure P-7) passed by learned Sessions Judge, Faridkot whereby bail of the petitioners was cancelled and non-bailable warrants of arrest were issued against the petitioners, be quashed.

3. Learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factual matrix of the case and submits that petitioner No.2 Ravi Singh @ Ghaint appeared on 06.06.2024 and petitioner No.1 Lovepreet Singh @ Labba appeared on 15.07.2024 before the learned trial Court and furnished requisite bail bonds.

4. Heard.

5. During the course of the proceedings, following order was passed on 09.07.2024:-

“ The instant application under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed for modification of interim order dated 30.05.2024 and for extension of time to comply with interim order dated 30.05.2024.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance to the order dated 30.05.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner No.2 has put in appearance in the learned Trial Court and furnished the requisite bail bonds. However, petitioner No.1 could not do so as he could not furnish the surety. He submits that the petitioner No.1 is ready to furnish the surety and seeks extension of time for his appearance in the learned Trial Court in compliance to the order dated 30.05.2024.

3. Heard.

4. In the interest of justice, petitioner No.1 is directed to appear before the learned Trial Court within 7 days from today and in that event he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/Duty Magistrate along with the undertaking as already ordered vide order dated 30.05.2024.

5. Disposed of.”

6. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner No.2 has already appeared on 06.06.2024 and petitioner No.1 has appeared on 15.07.2024 before the learned trial Court and furnished their requisite bail/surety bonds



consequent to the order dated 30.05.2024 and 09.07.2024, passed by this Court, the present petition is allowed and order dated 14.05.2024 (Annexure P-7), whereby the bail of the petitioners was cancelled and non-bailable warrants of arrest were issued against the petitioners is hereby set aside and the interim bail granted vide order dated 30.05.2024 and 09.07.2024 is hereby confirmed.

7. The Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

23.07.2024

puneet

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes |