



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

604

CWP-1653 of 2005
Date of Decision:12.09.2024

Smt. Santosh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present case is against the action withholding the DCRG and earned leave of the husband of the petitioner.
2. Learned State counsel refers para No.5 of the reply on merits that it was on account of five orders of recoveries that were ordered to be effected for damage/loss caused by him to Government properties and that fact has not been mentioned in the writ petition.
3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case need arises.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

September 12, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No