



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-28069-2024

Date of decision: 12.08.2024

Ravi Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.195 dated 26.04.2024 under Sections 20 and 58(2) of the Narcotic Drugs and Substances Act, 1985 and Section 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

2. On 30.05.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

*“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner was not named in the secret information received; he came to be nominated as an accused in the disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused, from whom recovery of 1.637 kgs of Ganja leaves was allegedly affected. He further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case much less under the NDPS Act, which lends credence to his false implication in the present case and all this needs to be*

*appreciated in the light of the fact that the disclosure statement on the basis of which he has been arraigned as an accused in the present case, has very poor evidentiary value.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 30.05.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 30.05.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/438(2) of the Cr.P.C. Needless to add, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the same.

12.08.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No