



130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13498-2024

Date of decision: 30.05.2024

Ravinder Singh Dhillon

...Petitioner

Versus

BPS Government Medical College for Women and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to issue the provisional NBEMS Diploma Training Completion Certificate as per Annexure III provided with the prospectus. Other prayers have also been made in the present writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given a representation dated 20.05.2024 (Annexure P-6) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-College is directed to consider the said representation dated 20.05.2024 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the



petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.1-College would consider the said representation dated 20.05.2024 (Annexure P-6), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-College to consider the representation dated 20.05.2024 (Annexure P-6), in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-College would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

30.05.2024

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No