



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

123

COCP-2011-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 31.05.2024

KAMALJEET

...Petitioner

Versus

ARUNA NAYAR AND ORS

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Bakshi, Advocate and
Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)**CM-10280-CII-2024**

1. Allowed as prayed for.

COCP-2011-2024

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 01.06.2023 passed in CWP-PIL-49-2023.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Somesh Gupta, Sr. Panel Counsel, UOI, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

4. Counsel for the respondents submits that pursuant to the order dated 01.06.2023 passed in CWP-PIL-49-2023, claim of the petitioner has been considered and a specific order has already been passed to that effect, and as such, the present petition is not tenable.

5. In view of the above, this Court does not find it appropriate to interfere in the matter.



COCP-2011-2024

/2/

6. Dismissed.
7. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

31.05.2024

parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No