

2024:PHHC:053955

CRWP-5433-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.04.2024

...Petitioners

...Respondents

Ms. Ramandeep Kaur Suhag, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

2. The main contention, which was raised on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioners was that the petitioners were being harassed by respondent No.3 and the police of Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi by calling

them to police station, without following the due process of law.

3. On the other hand learned State counsel submits that the petitioners are not required by the police of Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi in any criminal case, at this stage and they have not been summoned by any police officer, during the course of any enquiry. Learned State counsel further submits that there is no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners and false and vague averments have been made by them in the present petition. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, the petitioners apprehend any threat, they would be at liberty to move an appropriate application to the competent police officer and appropriate action shall be taken in accordance with law.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further orders are required and the petition is accordingly, disposed off.

22.04.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No