



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12630-2023(O&M)

Decided On: 31.07.2024

ROHIT KACKAR AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Bhavya Vats, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Sonia Madan, Advocate and
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to supply electricity connections to the petitioners, who are residents of CHD Spring Valley, Sector 45, Karnal.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-Nigam contend that considering the petitioners' request in terms of the order dated 16.05.2024, passed by this Court, the Nigam approached the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission, Panchkula, by filing Case No.HERC/P.No.37 of 2024, seeking permission to release electricity connections to the petitioners. The Commission, vide order dated 23.07.2024, as an interim measure and to help out the distressed residents/petitioners, allowed the Nigam to release the additional load/new



connections on voluntary payment of proportionate charges equivalent to the inadequacy of the Developer as mentioned in the petition. Learned counsel further contend that the electricity connections/additional load would be released to the petitioners on their depositing of the charges, i.e., ₹9,346.73 plus GST per kilowatt within a period of thirty days from the date of deposit.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-Nigam, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

31.07.2024
Ad

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No