

234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SAGAR DADHWAL

V/S

...RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh K. Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner and respondent No.3 are major and due to their consensual relationship, they performed love marriage, which was opposed by the family of respondent No.3. Thereafter, both of them approached this Court by filing a petition seeking protection of their life and liberty and the same was allowed by this Court vide order dated 04.07.2022. It is further contended that from the bare reading of the allegations in the FIR, no offence leveled against the petitioner is made out and as such, the prosecution in the present petition is nothing but an abuse of law.

3.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Satpal Singh, submits that the investigating agency has concluded the investigation and has prepared the cancellation report on 23.05.2023, however, the same has not been filed before the concerned jurisdictional Magistrate till date.
4.

On a pointed query, sufficient explanation was not given by the official as to why the cancellation report has not been presented before the concerned Court, in spite of passing of almost 11 months.
5.

Learned State counsel submits that ASI Satpal Singh undertakes to present the cancellation report within a period of 04 weeks from today before the concerned jurisdictional Magistrate, failing which, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur would be under obligation to explain the reason as to why the cancellation report prepared on 23.05.2023 has not been filed in the Court of competent jurisdiction.
6.

Present petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

April 16, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No