

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.3477 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.05.2024

Harsh Vardhan Jain

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Serbjit Singh (Deceased) through his LRs and another


...Respondents

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

Present:- Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate appearing for
 Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate
 for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-applicant (here-in-after to be referred as 'the applicant') has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a direction to learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Chandigarh (for short 'the trial Court') to expeditiously decide the ***Civil Miscellaneous (CM) No.423 of 2022*** in ***Civil Suit No.339 of 2018*** titled as '***Veena Singh Parmar vs. Serbjit Singh and Anr***', along-with ***application Annexure P-7***, moved by him under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Section 151 CPC for being impleaded as plaintiff in the afore-referred ***CM No.423***, in the light of the verdict as rendered by the Apex Court in "***Shub Karan Bubna @ Shub Karan Prasad Bubna versus Sita Saran Bubna***" and has averred that he had moved the above-said application (Annexure P-7) in May, 2023 but the same has not yet been decided.



2. It is worth-while to mention here that at the time of assisting the Court, at the preliminary stage, in the present revision-petition, learned counsel appearing for the applicant has restricted his prayer to the issuance of direction to the trial Court to decide the afore-mentioned application (Annexure P-7) only, at the earliest possible.

3. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel appearing for the applicant and also the fact that the afore-said application (Annexure P-7), as filed by the applicant, is pending adjudication for the last one year and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the above-referred application as well as CM No.423 of 2022, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of, while confining the issuance of direction to the concerned trial Court only to extent of deciding the afore-mentioned application (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances, as expeditiously as possible.

31.05.2024
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*