



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.28004 of 2024
Date of decision: 26th September, 2024

Manjinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.0019 dated 07.03.2024 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar Rural.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 04.07.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“At the outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the matter between the parties stands settled by way of agreement dated 29.06.2024; the parties would shortly be approaching this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR in question.

XXXX XXXX XXXX

Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate has also entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and not disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite. It has also been submitted by the learned counsel for the complainant that in view of an amicable settlement having been effected between the parties, he does not oppose the prayer made for extending the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.07.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 04.07.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 26, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No