



128

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13936-2024

Date of Decision:31.05.2024

SHIV BAHADUR SAROJ

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Kshitiz Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to appoint him on compassionate ground.

2. The petitioner claims that his mother worked with respondent for more than 2 decades and she passed away on 04.12.2023. She was not a regular employee.

3. Mr. Kshitiz Goel, Advocate submits that respondent may be directed to consider his case for compassionate appointment on sympathetic ground though his mother was a contractual employee.

4. Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate who on advance notice is present in Court submits that competent authority would look into the grievance of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

5. In the wake of statement of Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider case of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

31.05.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>