



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-13559-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.07.2024

Innovative Supply Chain Solutions LLP
... Petitioner
Versus
Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran
... Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Jatin Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

On a previous occasion, the case was adjourned on the request of learned counsel for the respondent, for the Court was informed that matter was under active consideration of the authority, and a decision in this regard was soon to be reached.

An affidavit of the Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad, dated 04.07.2024, has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record. The averments set out in paragraphs 4, 5 & 6 thereof, germane to the issue, read as thus:

“4. That it is further submitted that the paper possession of the site has already been given to the allottee vide possession certificate memo no.Z0001/E00001/PAPOS/0000029846 dated 27.12.2023 and the same has been updated in the online PPM System. Copy of the possession certificate is annexed as Annexure R-1.

5. That it is further submitted that as per the report of SDE(S), HSVP, Faridabad the petitioner in CWP



No.1427 of 2021 claimed the ownership of the khasra no.50//15/2 (7-0), 42////16/2 (2-3), 24 (8-0), 25/1 (2-17), 96//4/2 (2-7), 5(8-0), 95//1 (8-0), 95//1 (8-0), 2/1 (4-16) for release of land. Whereas the dwelling houses/constructed house of the petitioner in CWP No.1427 of 2021 falls/exists only on the Khasra No.50//15/2 (7-0) and the plot of the present petitioner falls under the khasra no.95//1 (8-0), 2/1 (4-16).

6. That in view of the claim of the petitioner in CWP No.1427 of 2021 again demarcation was conducted by the SDE(S), HSVP Faridabad through DGPS machine for clarification and thereafter, it was cleared that the physical possession at site can be given to the present petitioner.”

Accordingly, learned counsel for the parties submit that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail such other remedies as shall be admissible in law, qua interest for late delivery of possession in terms of the letter of allotment, and/or any other relief, if so advised.

As prayed for, the petition is disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

05.07.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO