



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

FAO-5227-2006
Date of Decision: 20.11.2024

DEEPAK ARYA

... Appellant

VERSUS

BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Rahul Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

This case pertains to the year 2006 and falls in the category of burnt cases. On the last date of hearing, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the parties and Registry was directed to inform the parties as well as their counsels. Despite being informed by the Registry, today also there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the matter. This Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

2. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

20.11.2024
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No