

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

142

INCOMP-1182-2024  
Date of Decision: 22.11.2024

Labh Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:       None for the petitioner.

                  Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana (Through VC).

\*\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J.**

The aforesaid petition was filed on 13.08.2021 by Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate.

The Registry had raised objection on the case file.

2.               On 21.11.2024, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“State to get instructions in the meantime.*

*List on 22.11.2024.”*

3.               Counsel for the State on instructions submits that police did not receive any communication or representation.

4.               As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party,who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the advocates were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections for re-filing after removal of objections.

5.               It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for appropriate order. The case was shown in the cause list. No one was present on last date as well as today also. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed and the same is disposed of. Liberty reserved to the petitioners to approach this Court again, if need arises.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

22.11.2024  
anju rani

|                           |     |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes |
| Whether reportable?       | No  |