

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Arbitration Case No. 258 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.01.2024

M/s A.M. Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd. ...Applicant(s)
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. Ankit Grewal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

* * * *

RITU BAHRI, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of an arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes between the parties.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that as per terms and conditions of the agreement, a tribunal comprising three arbitrators was required to be constituted i.e. one each was to be appointed by the Employer and the Contractor and the third arbitrator, who will act as the Presiding Arbitrator, would be chosen by the two arbitrators appointed by the parties. The Employer has already appointed Mr. M.K.Aggarwal, Retired Chief Engineer as arbitrator whereas the contractors have already appointed Mr. R.R.Sheoran, retired Chief Engineer as arbitrator on their behalf. Accordingly, as per terms and conditions of the agreement, the third Presiding Arbitrator be appointed by the above arbitrators. The seat of the arbitration will be as per the mutual consent of the parties.

3. With these observations, the petition stands disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

12.01.2024
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No