



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

246

CWP-15803-2021
Date of decision : 04.09.2024

Parmod KumarPetitioner

V/S

Punjab Gramin Bank and othersRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Devansh Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhushan Bhatia, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the payment of leave encashment to the petitioner, who voluntarily retired from the service of the respondent-Bank on 27.11.2020.
2. As per office report notice has been sent to respondent No.4 through e-mail. However, none has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4.
3. Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 submits that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous as the benefit of leave encashment amounting to Rs.5,81,044/- has been credited to the bank account of the petitioner today itself.



CWP-15803-2021

-2-

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that although the amount of leave encashment has been paid to the petitioner but the same has been paid without any interest. Therefore, liberty may be granted to the petitioner to approach the concerned authority for grant of interest on the delayed payment of leave encashment.

5. Disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty as aforesaid.

04.09.2024

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No