



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13182-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 29.05.2024

Dhanraj and othersPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Ms. Jaishree Kaushik, Advocate for
 Mr.Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

 Mr.Harish Nain, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the counsel for the petitioners is that the petitioners are entitled for benefit of regularization of their services as per the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003 (Annexure P-3), as amended on 10.02.2004 (Annexure P-4) as the similarly situated employees as well as the employees junior to the petitioners have already been granted said benefit of the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003 and they have been regularized in service as per the said policy.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 01.04.2024 (Annexure P-9) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.



3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 01.04.2024 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of concerned authorities and is still pending consideration, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order and in case, after the decision of the representation, any benefit accrues to the petitioners, the same will also be released to them otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for their information and necessary action.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
7. Ordered accordingly.

29.05.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No