



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5009-2024
Date of decision: 28.05.2024

RAHUL SOODPETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, who is apprehending threats to his life at the hands of respondent No.4.

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that feeling aggrieved by the act and conduct of private respondent No. 4, the petitioner had moved the representation dated 22.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2- The Director General of Police, Chandigarh, but till date no action thereon has been taken to redress the grievance of the petitioner. He submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2- The Director General of Police, Chandigarh, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 22.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner and prays for disposal of the petition.



CRWP-5009-2024

2

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Eklavya Darshi, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that the representation will be disposed of by the concerned authorities expeditiously in accordance with law.
5. After hearing the respective submissions and perusing the record, without commenting on the merits of the case and considering the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Director General of Police, Chandigarh, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 22.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner after giving opportunity of being heard to the petitioner.
6. The decision so taken be also intimated to the petitioner as well.
7. Disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

28.05.2024

kanika

- i)

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii)

Whether reportable?

Yes/No