



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13072-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 29.05.2024

Ajay MattuPetitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr.Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Harish Nain, Asstt.AG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the father of the petitioner was working on the post of Sweeper-cum-Chowkidar and he died while in service on 05.03.2022, hence, the petitioner is entitled for the grant of benefit of compassionate appointment but said claim has not been considered by the respondents though, the same is fully covered by the Haryana Civil Services (Compassionate Financial Assistance or Appointment Rules), 2019, which were in operation on the date of the death of the father of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 15.03.2024 (Annexure P-6) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.



3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 15.03.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of concerned authorities and is still pending consideration, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order and in case, after the decision of the representation, any benefit accrues to the petitioner, the same will also be released to him otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
7. Ordered accordingly.

29.05.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No