



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-27714-2024

Date of Decision:-23.07.2024

Inderjit Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Ramta K Chaudhary, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Ajaypal Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the Complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the petition under Section 438 Cr.PC is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.18 dated 28.01.2020 under Sections 302 IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on) and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at P.S. Lambi, District Shri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the interim order dated 29.05.2024 **passed** by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State Counsel on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of orders dated 29.05.2024 and is not required for custodial interrogation.

The Counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail stating that the investigation had been



conducted in a faulty manner.

I have heard the counsel for the parties and examined the record.

On 29.05.2024 a detailed order was passed setting out all the facts. In pursuance to the said order the petitioner has joined investigation and the State has submitted that his custodial interrogation is not required.

In this view of the matter, the interim order dated 29.05.2024 is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 23, 2024
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No