IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.33853 of 2021
Reserved on: 03.09.2024
Pronounced on: 16.09.2024

Sunil Sharma ...Petitioner

Versus

Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement …Respondent

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. Vineet Jhakhar, Advocate and
Mr. Gurminder Singh Phull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shobit Phutela, Advocate
for the respondent-ED.

****

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Complaint No.	**Dated**	**Court**
COMA/02/2021	20.01.2021	Special Judge under PML Act, Haryana at Panchkula

1. Aggrieved by the dismissal of the application seeking supply of complete copy of complaint alongwith list of witnesses appended as Annexure A and relied upon documents as Annexure B and imposition of a cost of Rs. 10,000/—each on the petitioner, the accused-petitioner mentioned in the above petition has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC.

2. In the order dated 27-01-2021, Ld. Special judge of the PMLA Court observed that the case had 28000 pages of documents.

3. In the order dated 23-03-2021 ld. Special judge of the PMLA Court observed that a copy of the complaint and complete relied-upon documents have been supplied to the accused, namely, Deepak Sharma, Ms Sunil Sharma, Manish Grover, Radhey Shyam and Bansi Lal, and their joint statement in this regard has also been recorded.

4. Similarly, as per the impugned order, it has been explicitly mentioned by learned the Special Judge of the PMLA Court, that vide order dated 23-03-2021, a copy of the complaint and complete relied-upon documents have been supplied to the accused, namely, Deepak Sharma, Sunil Sharma, and Manish Grover, and their joint statement in

Sonia Puri
2024.09.18 11:18
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

this regard has also been recorded. The stand of the accused about the non-supply of the documents appears to be a delaying tactic.

5. Given above, the present petition is dismissed except for the imposition of costs. The impugned order is upheld on all counts except for the imposition of costs. Thus, the petitioners shall not be required to pay the costs; if he has already paid in that case, same be refunded to him and order qua cost part is set aside.

Petition dismissed in terms above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

16.09.2024
Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: NO.

Sonia Puri
2024.09.18 11:18
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document